

Appendix B - Bakers Bottom & Craven Vale - Residents Parking Scheme Consultation Report May 2014

Background

The Bakers Bottom & Craven Vale of Brighton is an area immediately to the East of Zone U and the recently extended Area H.

The council received a number of complaints and petitions from residents in the Bakers Bottom & Craven Vale area about general difficulties in parking and the belief that this was at least partly caused by displacement from that new extension. Therefore it was agreed that consultation on a resident parking scheme should take place as soon as possible within the timeframe set out in the committee report.

Headline Findings

The consultation achieved a 31.6% response rate.

54.8% of respondents were in favour of an extension to the Residents Parking Scheme and 45.2% of respondents were against the extension of the scheme.

Methodology

Brighton and Hove City Council Land and Property Gazetteer was used to provide 576 property addresses in the Bakers Bottom & Craven Vale Area of the city. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address. Respondents were invited to complete the survey online via the council's Consultation Portal should they wish to: 9 respondents (4.9%) chose this method.

Plans could also be viewed at staffed exhibitions held at The Vale Community Centre (1pm – 5pm Wednesday, 2 April 2014 and 4pm – 8pm Thursday, 3 April 2014) and an unstaffed exhibition held at Hove Town Hall parking Shop from 24 March 2014 to 2 May 2014, 9am to 5pm.

182 responses¹ were received giving a response rate of 31.6%.

¹ The following responses were not included 3 from outside the area, 2 where no street name was given.

Results

Q1 Are you in favour of a residents parking scheme in your road?

Yes		No		Total
Number	%	Number	%	
97	54.8	80	45.2	177 ²

Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

Street	No. properties mailed	No. responses	Response rate %	Yes		No	
				No.	%	No.	%
Bute Street	56	28	50.0	19	67.9	9	32.1
Craven Road	132	24	18.2	11	45.8	13	54.2
Hadlow Close	31	7	22.6	2	28.6	5	71.4
Hendon Street	63	30	47.6	25	83.3	5	16.7
Monument View	16	6	37.5	0	0.0	6	100
Parham Close	24	2	8.3	1	50.0	1	50.0
Queensway	101	26	25.7	8	30.8	18	69.2
Rochester Street	58	32	55.2	26	81.3	6	18.7
Southwater Close	24	4	16.7	1	25.0	3	75.0
The Causeway	49	15	30.6	4	26.7	11	73.3
Tilgate Close	22	3	13.6	0	0.0	3	100
Total	576	177	30.7	97	54.8	80	45.2

Q2 Respondents were asked whether they are a resident, a business owner or manager or work in the area.

Respondents could tick more than one option.

	Number of responses
Resident	174
Business owner or manager	5
Work in the area	6
Other	1

² 5 people did not answer this question

Q3a How many cars in your household?

No. of cars	Number of responses	Total number of cars
0	40	0
1	106	106
2	29	58
3	6	18
4 or more	0	0
Total	181	182

181 respondents have 182 cars (= 1.0 cars per household).

Q3b Do you have access to off-street car parking?

Yes		No		Total
Number	%	Number	%	
35	20.2	138	79.8	173

Q3c How many motorcycles in your household?

No. of Motorcycles	Number of responses	Total number of motorcycles
0	164	0
1	4	4
2	2	4
3	0	0
4 or more	0	0
Total	170	8

170 respondents have 8 motorcycles (= 0.05 per household).

Q3d How many pedal cycles in your household?

No. of Pedal Cycles	Number of responses	Total number of pedal cycles
0	82	0
1	46	46
2	27	54
3	12	36
4 or more	3	12
Total	170	≥148

170 respondents have 148 cycles (= 0.87 per household).

Q4a What type of business do you own or manage in the area?

What type of business?	Number of responses
Retail outlet	0
Office-based	2
Other includes: Window cleaning, dog walking, solar installers	3
Total responses	5

Q4b How many vehicles are directly associated with your business?

No. of vehicles	Number of responses	Total number of vehicles
1	6	6
2	1	2
3	0	0
4 or more	0	0
Total	7	8

7 respondents had at least 8 vehicles associated with their business (=1.1 vehicles per business).

Q5 Any other comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. Although expressed in residents' own words analysis of the open text shows common themes emerged and have been grouped as follows. The figures show the amount of times a comment was made which is, in some cases, from the same household.

Comments	Number of times made
In favour because of current parking difficulties/ general positive comments	34
No need for a scheme/ Unnecessary	27
Don't want to pay for parking	23
Not enough residents parking spaces in this scheme/ will need more than one permit	21
This is purely a money making exercise	10
Concerned about the cost of visitor parking	8
Needs enforcement of current illegal parking	6
Concerned about displacement	5
Don't want P&D/ Street is too narrow	5
Concerns about disabled parking	4
General negative comments	4
Unhappy about hours of scheme operation	4
Not enough visitor permits	4
Parking restrictions already implemented are the problem/ No problems before adjoining areas got a scheme/ remove CPZ's	3
Scheme won't help after 8 parking situation	3
Concerns about medical students sharing/not enough permits	3
Don't want double yellow lines across driveways/ don't want more double yellow lines	2
Concerns that the scheme will adversely affect businesses in the area	2
Want to be part of an area other than area U	2

Demographic Information

Age	Number	%
18-24	2	1.6
25-34	15	12.0
35-44	40	32.0
45-54	25	20.0
55-64	18	14.4
65-74	14	11.2
75+	11	8.8
Total	125	100

Gender	Number	%
Male	63	47.7
Female	69	52.3
Total	132	100

Do you identify as the gender you were assigned at birth?	Number	%
Yes	112	98.2
No	2	1.8
Total	114	100

Ethnicity		Number	%
White	White English/ Welsh/ Scottish/ Northern Irish/ British	121	91.7
	White Irish	2	1.5
	Gypsy or Irish Traveller	0	0.0
	Any other white background	3	2.3
Asian or Asian British	Bangladeshi	0	0.0
	Indian	0	0.0
	Pakistani	0	0.0
	Chinese	0	0.0
	Any other Asian background	0	0.0
Black or Black British	African	2	1.5
	Caribbean	0	0.0
	Any other Black background	0	0.0
Mixed	Asian & White	1	0.8
	Black African & White	1	0.8
	Black Caribbean & White	1	0.8
	Any other mixed background	1	0.8
Any other ethnic group	Arab	0	0.0
	Any other ethnic group	0	0.0
Total		132	100

Sexual orientation	Number	%
Heterosexual/ straight	95	83.3
Lesbian/ Gay woman	3	2.6
Gay man	11	9.6
Bisexual	4	3.5
Other	1	0.9
Total	114	100

Religion or Belief	Number	%
I have no particular religion	58	46.0
Buddhist	3	2.4
Christian	47	37.3
Hindu	0	0.0
Jain	0	0.0
Jewish	1	0.8
Muslim	3	2.4
Pagan	1	0.8
Sikh	0	0.0
Agnostic	4	3.2
Atheist	9	7.1
Other	0	0.0
Other philosophical belief	0	0.0
Total	126	100

Are your day-to-day activities limited because of a health problem or disability which has lasted, or is expected to last, at least 12 month?	Number	%
Yes, a little	20	15.2
Yes, a lot	23	17.4
No	89	67.4
Total	132	100

Of those who answered “yes”, disabilities were as follows:

Please state the type of impairment which applies to you	Number	%³
Physical impairment	14	32.6
Sensory impairment	3	7.0
Learning disability/ difficulty	1	2.3
Long-standing illness	15	34.9
Mental health condition	9	20.9
Development condition	0	0.0
Other	2	4.6
Total	44	-

Are you a carer?	Number	%
Yes	12	9.8
No	111	90.2
Total	123	100

If yes to carer, do you care for	Number	%⁴
Parent	2	25.0
Child with special needs	3	37.5
Other family member	1	12.5
Partner/ spouse	1	12.5
Friend	1	12.5
Other	0	0.0
Total	8	100

Armed Forces Service	Yes	No
Are you currently serving in the UK Armed Forces (this includes reservists or part-time service, eg Territorial Army)?	0	106
Have you ever served in the UK Armed Forces?	11	97
Are you a member of a current or former serviceman or woman's immediate family/ household?	9	98

³ % of those who answered yes to the disability question above. Total will not equal 100% because respondents could choose more than one option.

⁴ % of those who answered yes to the carer question above

Appendix

5 responses were removed from reporting as they fell into the following categories:

- Responses from residents outside the area
- No address given

40% of these responses were against the introduction of a parking scheme in the Bakers Bottom and Craven Vale area as show in the table below:

Why removed from main report	In favour of parking scheme		Not in favour of parking scheme		Total
	Number	%	Number	%	
No address given	1	50.0	1	50.0	2
Outside area	2	66.7	1	33.3	3
Total	3	60.0	2	40.0	5